

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,682	06/16/2005	Alexander Macasaet	30882/DP027	8592	
4743 MARSHALL	7590 06/22/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAM	EXAMINER		
233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			PERREAULT	PERREAULT, ANDREW D	
6300 SEARS CHICAGO, II		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		3728			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/22/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/539,682	MACASAET ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ANDREW PERREAULT	3728	

	ANDREW PERREAULT	3728						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:								
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. If no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 760.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee equals of the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee equals of the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee equals of the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee set for this corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee set for the fee. The fee extension of the fee. The set for the fee extension of the fee. The fee extension of the feel extension o								
Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a								
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cteu ciairis.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	it canceling the					
7. M For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (of will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-8,10-12,15-17,19 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
/Ehud Gartenberg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3728	/A. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 3728							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that with reference to the Hiroshi device, "items placed within the body 8 cannot be placed directly on the pallet." However, applicant's claim states "the open base of the sidewalls allowing at least one item to be placed directly on the pallet." An item of an undisclosed shape and undisclosed size can be directly placed on the pallet. Hiroshi's Figure 1 shows space on the pallet for an item to be placed. Furthermore, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). Additionally, while features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, disins directed to an apparatus may be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997).